

# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Barry, Gillian, Tough, Daniel, Sheerin, Phillip, Mattinson, Oliver, Dawe, Rachael and Board, Elisabeth (2016) Assessing the Physiological Cost of Active Videogames (Xbox Kinect) Versus Sedentary Videogames in Young Healthy Males. Games for Health Journal, 5 (1). pp. 68-74. ISSN 2161-783X

Published by: Mary Ann Liebert

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2015.0036>  
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2015.0036>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:  
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/26322/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

# Games for Health Journal

Research, Development, and Clinical Applications

Name of journal and journal url

## Assessing the physiological cost of active video games (Xbox Kinect™) versus sedentary video games in young healthy males

Journal:	<i>Games for Health Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	G4H-2015-0036.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Barry, Gillian; Northumbria University, Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Tough, Daniel; University of Sunderland, Sport and Exercise Science Sheerin, Phillip; University of Sunderland, Sport and Exercise Science Mattinson, Oliver; University of Sunderland, Sport and Exercise Science Dawe, Racheal; University of Sunderland, Sport and Exercise Science Board, Elisabeth; University of Sunderland, Sport and Exercise Science
Keywords:	exergames, fitness, games

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4 1 **Assessing the physiological cost of active video games**  
5  
6  
7 2 **(Xbox Kinect™) versus sedentary video games in young**  
8  
9  
10 3 **healthy males**  
11  
12

13 4 Gillian Barry<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Tough<sup>2</sup>, Phillip Sheerin<sup>2</sup>, Oliver Mattinson<sup>2</sup>, Rachael Dawe<sup>2</sup> and Elisabeth  
14  
15 Board<sup>2</sup>  
16  
17

18  
19 6 1. Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne,  
20 7 NE1 8ST, United Kingdom

21 8 2. Department of Sport and Exercise Science, University of Sunderland, SR1 3SD, United Kingdom  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 10 **Correspondence to:**

29 11 Dr Gill Barry

30 12 Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation,

31 13 Northumbria University,

32 14 Newcastle upon Tyne,

33 15 NE1 8ST,

34 16 United Kingdom.

35 17 Email: gill.barry@northumbria.ac.uk  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 19 **Key Words:** Energy expenditure; gaming; physical activity; exergaming.

48 20 **Word Count:** 276  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

**Objectives:** The aims of this study were twofold; 1) to compare the physiological costs of AVGs and SVGs and 2) to compare the exercise intensities attained during AVGs to the exercise intensity criteria for moderate and vigorous physical activity, as stated in current physical activity recommendations for improving public health.

**Materials and Methods:** 19 young males participated in the study (age:  $23 \pm 3$  years, height:  $178 \pm 6$  cm, weight:  $78 \pm 15$  kg). Participants completed a  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  test and a gaming session, including active video games (AVGs) (Reflex Ridge, River Rush and Boxing, Kinect™) and sedentary video games (SVGs) (FIFA 14 and Call of Duty). Heart rate (HR) and oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_2$ ) were recorded continuously during all video games. Rating of perceived exertion (RPE) was taken every 3 minutes during AVGs and SVGs. Energy expenditure, expressed as metabolic equivalents (METs), was calculated. One MET was defined as the volume of oxygen consumed at rest in a seated position and is equal to 3.5ml  $O_2$  per kg body mass per minute. The exercise intensity for each game was expressed as a percentage of maximal oxygen uptake ( $\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ) and percentage of age-predicted maximum HR ( $\%HR_{\max}$ ).

**Results:** Exercise intensity ( $\%HR_{\max}$  and  $\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , RPE) and energy expenditure (METs) were significantly higher during active gaming compared to sedentary game play ( $p < 0.01$ ). AVGs elicited moderate levels of exercise intensity ( $64\text{--}72\%HR_{\max}$ ) in line with current recommended physical activity guidelines.

**Conclusions:** Our results indicate AVGs provoke physiological responses equivalent to a moderate intensity physical activity.

**Key words:** Energy expenditure; gaming; physical activity; exergaming.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

49     **Introduction**

50             Physical inactivity is the fourth most important risk factor for chronic, non-communicable  
51     disease accounting for 6%, 7% and 10% of the burden of disease for coronary heart disease, Type II  
52     diabetes and breast and colon cancers respectively <sup>1-2</sup>. Additionally, physical inactivity contributes to  
53     obesity <sup>3</sup>, depression <sup>4-5</sup> and dementia <sup>6</sup>. Despite strong empirical evidence supporting the benefits of  
54     physical activity on a range of health outcomes, many young adults in the UK <sup>7</sup>, and worldwide <sup>8-9</sup>, do  
55     not meet minimum physical activity recommendations. **Current physical activity guidelines <sup>10-12</sup>**  
56     **suggest adults should engage in at least 150 minutes, accumulated, moderate intensity physical**  
57     **activity per week with moderate intensity exercise ranging between 4.8-7.1 metabolic equivalents**  
58     **(METs) for young healthy males <sup>12</sup>**. However, in today’s society there is becoming an increase in  
59     sedentary behaviour which is referred to as sitting and lying activities that require low levels of  
60     energy expenditure (EE) <sup>13-14</sup> and have metabolic equivalent (METs) levels between 1 and 1.5 <sup>15</sup>. In  
61     particular seated video gaming can be classified as a sedentary behaviour <sup>16</sup>. It has been reported that  
62     in the UK, video games are played between 3-7 times per week, with each session lasting on average  
63     1.9 hours by youth and adolescents aged 11-15 years old <sup>17</sup>. In the Netherlands the results are slightly  
64     higher with 95% of young males aged between 11-19 years spend on average 10 hours of sedentary  
65     gaming per week <sup>18</sup>. Some authors suggest time spent playing sedentary video games replaces time  
66     otherwise spent in more health enhancing, active behaviours i.e. moderate and vigorous physical  
67     activity, contravening **public health** recommendations <sup>19-20</sup>. As such, specific concerns have arisen  
68     surrounding time spent -engaged in sedentary video game activity and the health of young people.

69             Historically, video gaming was predominantly a sedentary leisure activity. The player simply  
70     interacted with the game on a TV screen or computer via a **handheld** controller. More recently, active  
71     video games (AVGs), also known as “exergames”, have emerged in an attempt to increase levels of  
72     physical activity and offer an alternative fun and enjoyable, home-based mode of exercise, accessible  
73     for all ages, whether as a means of leisure activity or for fitness gains <sup>21</sup>. AVGs integrate body  
74     movement (isolated limbs or whole body) into the game experience and video gaming. Movements  
75     are sensed via video cameras (Sony, Eye Toy™ and Microsoft, Xbox Kinect™) or weight-sensing

platforms (Konami, Dance Dance Revolution™ and Nintendo Wii Fit™). Wii Fit™ (Nintendo, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) primarily uses a balance board and handheld controller to move the avatars (computer representation of self), as opposed to the Xbox Kinect™ (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) where a camera captures body movements in real-time without the need to use worn or handheld controllers.

Given the time individuals currently spend engaged in video-gaming, it may be argued, AVGs potentially offer a novel and exciting opportunity to reduce sedentary behaviours and increase levels of physical activity to meet current public health, physical activity recommendations across the full age span, if the minimum threshold volume (intensity x frequency x duration), for accrual of health benefits, is met. However, research evidence to support this argument is currently unavailable. Current physical activity guidelines recommend 150 min.wk<sup>-1</sup> of at least moderate intensity activity, taken as bouts of 30-60 min (5 d.wk<sup>-1</sup>) or 20-60 min (3 d.wk<sup>-1</sup>). Each session may be continuous or accumulated over multiple sessions, each session a minimum of 10 min duration. Guidelines also suggest, if the above recommendations cannot be met fully, some activity is better than none. Table I outlines the criteria used to classify levels of physical activity based on exercise intensity. Few studies to date have measured the physiological cost of active video gaming, and outcomes are conflicting. Previous studies have assessed physiological responses to AVGs in children<sup>22-25</sup>, adolescents<sup>26</sup> and older adults<sup>27</sup> using a range of AVGs such as, Nintendo Wii™<sup>23</sup> and Dance Dance revolution (DDR)™<sup>28</sup>. Preliminary results from these studies, indicate AVGs elicit “light” to “moderate” levels of physical activity and are more physiologically demanding compared to traditional SVGs in different groups: normal weight to obese children and adults<sup>23</sup>; young children<sup>24</sup>; healthy adolescents<sup>26</sup>; and older adults<sup>27</sup>. However, the early studies, which used the Nintendo Wii™ console, may be criticised for not imitating whole body movement and a restricted application to movement on a balance board for Wii Plus. Although promising, the variations in AVGs make the results inconclusive for any true generalisations to be made. Limited data is available for the young male adult population.

The recently developed Kinect™ AVGs requires hands free play and whole body movement. To our knowledge, only three studies to date have explored the physiological responses of Kinect™

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

103 whole body movement AVGs<sup>22,30,31</sup>. O'Donovan *et al.*<sup>30</sup> compared the energy expenditure of  
104 Kinect™ Reflex Ridge to Wii™ Boxing in 14 adults (mean age 21 ± 3 years). Each game was played  
105 over a 10 minute period and, heart rate, metabolic equivalents (METs), oxygen consumption and  
106 kilocalories expended recorded. Results indicated that the Kinect™ Reflex Ridge AVG elicited  
107 significantly greater energy expenditure than the Wii™ Boxing [mean ± standard deviation (SD); 4.26  
108 ±1.09 compared to 3.14 ±1.03 METs, p<0.05]. However, the difference in energy expenditure may be  
109 partially explained by the muscle volume recruited during each game; Reflex Ridge demands full  
110 body movement compared to the isolated upper body movements for Wii™ Boxing. The authors  
111 concluded neither system elicited the moderate intensity activity levels to meet minimum physical  
112 activity guidelines, which supports earlier findings<sup>(23,26,27,28)</sup>. Mellecker and McManus<sup>22</sup> compared  
113 the effects of Kinect™ River Rush to Gamercize stepper and the Xavi X J-mat in 18 girls (8.5 ± 0.2  
114 years). Results showed that the Kinect™ River Rush did not meet guidelines for moderate intensity  
115 exercise, however Gamercize and XaviX J-Mat did. The final study to assess physiological responses  
116 of AVGs compared to traditional gaming was by Smallwood and colleagues<sup>31</sup> who assessed 15  
117 children (11-15 yrs) playing Dance Dance Revolution (DDR) and Kinect Sports (Boxing) compared  
118 to traditional seated gaming. Results showed that AVGs produced significantly greater energy  
119 expenditure (p<0.05) and that the Kinect sports (Boxing) elicited moderate levels of exercise intensity  
120 for children with a mean MET of 4.03, however DDR only produced light intensity exercise 2.91  
121 METs. Although physiological cost of AVGs compared to traditional gaming has been compared in  
122 the past, there still appears to be large variations in results and methods. Comparisons between studies  
123 are further curtailed by the variations in games played, player age and experience, number and choice  
124 of games included per study (one only for Kinect studies) and duration of game play. No study has  
125 compared the physiological effects of multiple dynamic Kinect™ AVGs to SVGs.

126 Currently, sedentary activities, including SVGs, are a public health concern, which must be  
127 addressed especially in the young. The use of AVGs may be a potential solution to the problem.  
128 Research has suggested that AVGs is an enjoyable activity to perform<sup>32</sup> however evidence still lacks  
129 regarding the true exercise intensity of AVGs for young adults males in relation to public health

## Physiological cost of exergaming

130 guidelines. The aims of this study were twofold; 1) to compare the physiological costs of AVGs and  
131 SVGs and 2) to compare the exercise intensities attained during AVGs to the exercise intensity  
132 criteria for moderate and vigorous physical activity, as stated in current physical activity  
133 recommendations for improving public health.

134



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

135  
  
136

**2. Materials and Methods**

Ethical clearance was granted by the institutional Ethics Sub-Committee for Sport at the University Of Sunderland and adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki. A convenience sample of nineteen healthy male participants volunteered to take part in the study. Participants were recruited through University emails, social media and word of mouth. Participant characteristics are presented in table II. Prior to the study, participants were fully informed of protocol and procedures and written consent was obtained. Individuals were included in the study if they were physically active (3 or more moderate-vigorous physical activity sessions per week), free from injury and suitably healthy to complete a maximal aerobic exercise test. Exclusion criteria included those with an inability, or any doubt of ability, to give informed consent and/or to comprehend and write English and current, or history of any medical condition or injury which would contraindicate participation. All participants completed a self-report health screening questionnaire prior to participation. Having satisfied the inclusion criteria, participants attended the exercise physiology laboratory at the University of Sunderland on two separate testing sessions, each separated by at least 48 hours. In the first session, following familiarisation with the research team, equipment and protocols, participants completed resting measures and an incremental exercise test to volitional exhaustion on a treadmill. During the second session participants completed the sedentary and active gaming activities..

Stature (cm) and body mass (kg) were recorded using a wall mounted stadiometer (Seca,HAB Direct, UK) and digital balance scales (Seca flat scale 761, Northumbria Medical Supplies, UK) respectively. Body mass index was established from body mass and stature using the equation: body mass (kg) /stature squared (m<sup>2</sup>). Participants relaxed quietly in a comfortable, supine position for 10 minutes to allow resting measures of heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP) to be recorded using a Polar RS800CX HR monitor (Polar Electro, Oy, Finland) and Omron BP monitor (Omron M10, Omron healthcare Co Ltd, Kyoto, Japan) respectively. Blood pressure was taken at resting as a health screening procedure. Screening was necessary to determine any pre-existing conditions. A resting HR

161 > 100 b.min<sup>-1</sup>, systolic BP > 140 mm Hg and/or a diastolic BP > 90 mm Hg were contraindications to  
162 exercise participation. The laboratory temperature was maintained between 20-24°C.

163 During exercise expired air was continuously analysed for oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)  
164 using a breath by breath online system (Metalyzer 3B<sup>®</sup>, Cortex, Biophysic, Leipzig, Germany).  
165 Minute ventilation ( $\dot{V}_E$ ) and the volumes of oxygen consumed ( $\dot{V}O_2$ ) and carbon dioxide produced  
166 ( $\dot{V}CO_2$ ) were recorded. The respiratory exchange ratio (RER) was expressed as the ratio of  $\dot{V}CO_2$  to  
167  $\dot{V}O_2$ . Expiratory flow volume was measured using a digital volume transducer (Triple V<sup>®</sup> turbine).  
168 Prior to each test equipment was fully calibrated according to manufacturer instructions. Following a  
169 60 minute warm up period, the electro-chemical O<sub>2</sub> cell and the CO<sub>2</sub> infra-red analyser in the  
170 Metalyzer 3B online system were calibrated against room air and a reference gas of known  
171 composition (5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 15% O<sub>2</sub> and 80% N<sub>2</sub>). Volume was established using 5 inspiratory and 5  
172 expiratory strokes using a 3-L calibration syringe (Cortex, Biophysik, Leipzig, Germany).  
173 Participants were individually fitted with an appropriately sized oro-nasal face mask (Hans Rudolph,  
174 USA) with low dead space volumes.

175 Immediately prior to the continuous, incremental exercise test to volitional exhaustion, participants  
176 completed a 10 minute warm up at a self-selected pace on the treadmill (Woodway, USA). The initial  
177 running velocity for the maximal exercise test was set at 2.77 m.s<sup>-1</sup> (10 km.h<sup>-1</sup>). Treadmill velocity  
178 was increased by 0.28 m.s<sup>-1</sup> (1 km.h<sup>-1</sup>) every 3 minutes until volitional exhaustion. The treadmill  
179 gradient was maintained at 1% throughout the test<sup>33</sup>. HR and expired air were continuously recorded  
180 throughout the protocol and rate of perceived exertion (RPE), (Borg Scale 6-20<sup>34</sup>) noted in the final  
181 10s of each stage. Perceived exertion was defined as how hard participants felt their body was  
182 working in general based on the physical sensations they may experience during the activity,  
183 including increases in HR, respiration, breathing rate, sweating, and muscle fatigue. The 15 point  
184 numerical scale is supported by verbal descriptors, where 6 is defined as “no exertion at all”, 11  
185 “light”, 13 “somewhat hard”, 15 “hard (heavy)”, 17 “very hard” and 20 “maximum exertion”). RPE  
186 values between 12-13 and 14-17 equate to “moderate” and “vigorous” intensity exercise respectively  
187 (ACSM, 2011). Participants were verbally encouraged during the test. The test was considered a

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

188 maximal effort if three of the following criteria were satisfied: HR within 10 beats of predicted  $HR_{max}$   
189 calculated as  $220 - \text{age}$ , a plateau in  $\dot{V}O_2$  despite an increase in running velocity, a final RER  $>1.1$  and  
190 an end-point RPE  $>19$ . On completion of the test, the treadmill velocity was immediately lowered to  
191  $1.39 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  ( $5 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ ) for an active cool-down to minimise the risk of blood pooling. Participants  
192 reported back to the laboratory on a second occasion to complete the SVGs and AVGs tests. A  
193 minimum rest period of 48 hours was scheduled between the first and second testing sessions. The  
194 multi-directional AVGs selected included Kinect™ Adventures™ (Reflex Ridge and River Rush) and  
195 Kinect Sports™ (Boxing). SVGs consisted of playing Xbox™ Call of Duty Black Ops and Xbox™  
196 FIFA 2014. The order video games were completed was randomised for each participant. Details of  
197 each video game are summarised in table III. Each game was played for 15 minutes. HR and  $\dot{V}O_2$   
198 were continuously measured and RPE recorded every 3 minutes during game play and an average for  
199 the game was used for analysis. For each AVG and SVG a mean METs score was calculated from  
200  $\dot{V}O_2$  data using the formula:  $1 \text{ MET} = 3.5 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \dot{V}O_2$  at rest. Computed values were compared  
201 to current physical activity recommendations (ACSM, 2011).  
202 All data is presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Normality of data was confirmed using the  
203 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Mauchly test was used to determine sphericity. A one way ANOVA with  
204 repeated measures was selected to determine significant physiological differences between each AVG  
205 and SVG game mode (Reflex Ridge, River Rush, Boxing, Call of Duty, FIFA 2014). A Tukey post  
206 hoc test was used to identify individual differences between games. A Bonferroni correction was  
207 applied to limit type 1 error due to multiple paired testing. 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported  
208 for significant data. The alpha level was set *a priori* at  $\leq 0.05$ . Data analysis was conducted using the  
209 Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

210

### 211 3. Results

212 Descriptive data for the physiological responses observed during the individual AVGs and SVGs are  
213 presented in Table IV.

214 Cardiorespiratory responses (HR) during individual SVGs and AVGs was significantly higher than  
215 resting value, respectively ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table IV). Mean HR responses during the individual AVGs  
216 varied by  $15 \text{ b} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  and were significantly higher than resting values ( $p < 0.001$ ). Participants  
217 accomplished the highest HR ( $142 \pm 18 \text{ b} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ ), exercise intensity ( $72 \pm 9\% \text{ \%HR}_{\text{max}}$ ) and energy  
218 expenditure ( $10.8 \pm 3.2 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ ) playing Xbox Kinect™ Reflex Ridge followed by Xbox Kinect™  
219 Boxing and Kinect™ Xbox River Rush (Table IV). A one-way analysis of variance with repeated  
220 measures revealed significant differences in HR between game modes (AVGs compared to SVGs), ( $F$   
221  $= 9.92$ ,  $df = 9$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ). Post hoc tests (with Bonferroni correction) identified differences between (1)  
222 Xbox Kinect™ Reflex Ridge and Xbox Kinect™ Call of Duty ( $p < 0.01$ ), Xbox Kinect™ FIFA 2014  
223 ( $p < 0.01$ ) and Xbox Kinect™ River Rush ( $p < 0.01$ ), (2) Xbox Kinect™ Boxing and Xbox Kinect™  
224 Call of Duty ( $p < 0.01$ ) and Xbox Kinect™ FIFA 2014 ( $p < 0.01$ ) and (3) Xbox Kinect™ River Rush  
225 and Xbox Kinect™ Call of Duty ( $p < 0.01$ ) and Xbox Kinect™ FIFA 2014 ( $p < 0.01$ ). No significant  
226 differences were evident between Xbox Kinect™ Call of Duty and Xbox Kinect™ FIFA 2014 ( $p$   
227  $= 0.17$ ) or Xbox Kinect™ River Rush and Xbox Kinect™ Boxing ( $p = 0.124$ ).

228 Mean RPE for each AVG ranged between ‘moderate’ ( $11 \pm 2$  Xbox Kinect™ River Rush,) to  
229 ‘somewhat hard’ ( $13 \pm 2$ , Xbox Kinect™ Reflex Ridge) and were significantly higher than the mean  
230 RPE reported for both individual SVGs (mean RPE  $6 \pm 1$ , ‘no exertion at all’ Xbox Kinect™ Call of  
231 Duty and Xbox Kinect™ FIFA 2014,).

### 233 Public Health Recommendations

234 The main findings from our study reveal AVGs were performed at a higher mean exercise intensity  
235 ( $68 \pm 11 \text{ \%HR}_{\text{max}}$ ,  $47.4 \pm 12.5 \text{ \%VO}_{2\text{max}}$ ,  $7 \pm 2 \text{ METs}$  and  $12 \pm 2 \text{ RPE}$ ) compared to SVGs ( $38.5 \pm$   
236  $6.5 \text{ \%HR}_{\text{max}}$ ,  $9.65 \pm 2 \text{ \% VO}_{2\text{max}}$ ,  $1 \pm 0 \text{ METs}$ , and  $6 \pm 1 \text{ RPE}$  ( $p < 0.05$ ) and meet the “moderate”

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

237 intensity exercise classification criteria (ACSM, 2011), whereas SVGs fall into the “very light”  
238 intensity category (Table IV). All participants were physically active in relation to current guidelines  
239 (ACSM, 2011) exercising to moderate intensity 3-5 times per week for at least 30 minutes.  
240 For individual games during AVG, Reflex Ridge emerged as the most intense of all of the games (72  
241  $\pm 9$ , %HR<sub>max</sub>,  $54.5 \pm 13.6$  % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ;  $8 \pm 2$  METs; and RPE,  $13 \pm 2$ ). This represented the moderate  
242 intensity physical activity category for all variables, except for METs, which fell into the vigorous  
243 intensity category, using ACSM (2011) criteria for both the general population and the young adults  
244 population (20-39 yrs) respectively (See table I). Boxing was the next most intense game with data  
245 similar data to Reflex Ridge: moderate exercise intensity category for % HR<sub>max</sub> ( $69 \pm 12\%$ ), %  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$   
246 ( $54.4 \pm 12.3\%$ ) and RPE ( $12 \pm 2$  units); and vigorous intensity exercise for METs ( $7 \pm 2$ ). River Rush  
247 was the only AVG, which had variations between light and vigorous intensity exercise. Light intensity  
248 exercise was demonstrated for %  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  ( $41.4 \pm 11.5\%$ ) and RPE ( $11 \pm 2$  units). Moderate intensity  
249 exercise was demonstrated for % HR<sub>max</sub> ( $64 \pm 11\%$ ) and METs fell between moderate (aged related)  
250 and vigorous (general population) at  $6 \pm 2$ . For both the SVGs, exercise intensity was deemed very  
251 light on all variables (See Table I)

252

253 **4. Discussion**

254 The aims of this study were (1) to explore the physiological responses of AVGs and SVGs in  
255 young healthy males and (2) compare exercise intensities attained during AVGs and SVGs to the  
256 exercise intensity criteria for moderate and vigorous physical activity stated in current physical  
257 activity recommendations for health gain. This was the first study to directly compare physiological  
258 responses of active and sedentary video gaming.

259 Exercise intensity data for AVGs and SVGs show that AVGs meet the current moderate, physical  
260 activity criteria for young healthy males<sup>10-12</sup> (Table IV) . Our data suggest SVGs elicit “low” intensity  
261 activity. Variations in exercise intensity were clearly observed between active and sedentary games

and also between individual AVGs, but not between SVGs. Mean exercise intensity ranged between 64-72 %HR<sub>max</sub> for individual Xbox Kinect™ AVGs, notably higher than mean exercise intensities reported in the research literature<sup>29</sup>. In our study, Xbox Kinect™ Reflex Ridge produced the highest mean HR and exercise intensity ( $142 \pm 18$  beats.min<sup>-1</sup>,  $8 \pm 2$  METs,  $72 \pm 9$  % HR<sub>max</sub>) and Call of Duty (CoD) was the SVG that produced the lowest exercise intensity ( $76 \pm 13$  beats.min<sup>-1</sup>,  $1 \pm 0$  METs, and  $38 \pm 7$  % HR<sub>max</sub>). Due to limited research evidence, few direct comparisons may be made between our findings and that of previous studies<sup>22, 25, 29 30</sup>. O' Donovan *et al.*,<sup>30</sup> observed a mean exercise intensity of  $4.26 \pm 1.09$  METs, in a sample of young adults ( $21 \pm 3$  yrs) playing Xbox Kinect™ Reflex Ridge, substantially lower than the results we present. In a group of 8 year old girls, Mellecker and McManus<sup>22</sup> concluded the Xbox Kinect™ River Rush AVG did not elicit moderate levels of energy expenditure. We found the Xbox Kinect™ River Rush AVG did induce an exercise intensity, which met the moderate physical activity criteria. A number of reasons may explain the outcome variations between studies. Differences may be attributed to the proportion of muscle mass recruited, understanding of the game, playing experience, motor skill acquisition, participant motivation, and frequency of movements during play, physiological monitoring equipment or laboratory conditions between studies. Variations between understanding the game and playing experience could particularly be contributors to variations in results. When playing on consoles such as the Nintendo Wii, previous game play and knowledge of the games would give participants and advantage over those with minimal playing experience, as they would understand what is required in the game, and also the cheats, for example more rapid wrist movements using the Wii control makes the avatar move faster resulting in greater game achievement.

O' Donovan and Hussy<sup>29</sup> reported a mean exercise intensity of 71% HR<sub>max</sub> in young adults playing the Nintendo Wii Fit™ Jogging AVG and 58% HR<sub>max</sub> (3.1 METs) for Nintendo Wii™ Boxing. Our data for Xbox Kinect™ Boxing is considerably higher at 69% HR<sub>max</sub> (7 METs). A plausible explanation may be attributed to the whole body, multi-directional movements, enabled by the Xbox Kinect™ console, activating greater muscle mass recruitment, in contrast to the handheld controller used in Nintendo Wii™ Boxing. Similarly White *et al.*,<sup>25</sup> found that Wii Sports™ classified



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

289 “light activity” as  $<3$  METs and running was the only one that produced moderate intensity exercise  
290 ( $5.6 \pm 1.4$  METs), however it should be noted that this was in a sample of young boys. The MET of  
291 running was similar to the levels we report in the current study for the Xbox Kinect™. Irrespective of  
292 game, METs ranged from  $6-8 \pm 2$  METs showing moderate intensity exercise can be achieved using  
293 AVGs in particular the Xbox Kinect™.

294 Although our results are promising and this is, to the knowledge of the authors, the first study  
295 to compare the physiological costs of active video gaming using the Kinect™ and sedentary video  
296 game play, there are a number of important limitations to consider. The research was carried out in a  
297 controlled laboratory environment, whereas exergames are primarily designed for home based  
298 activity. Knowing they were being observed and their data recorded, participants may have expended  
299 more energy than may be exerted in the unobserved home environment. The sample size was small  
300 and researcher bias cannot be ruled out with a convenient sampling strategy. Generalization is  
301 restricted to the young, healthy, active male population. Given the promotion of exergaming as an  
302 alternative form of physical activity, further exploration of the physiological responses across a wider  
303 range of popular games would be beneficial. Future work should also consider exploring the  
304 physiological responses and energy expenditure in the home environment. Forde and Hussey<sup>35</sup>  
305 assessed how a group ( $n=820$ ) of children played both SVGs and AVGs in the home environment.  
306 Results showed that 58% of them met physical activity guidelines for minimal exercise intensity. On  
307 average more children (68%) played SVGs compared to 55% playing AVGs. Although encouraging  
308 to observe in a large sample that a greater proportion of children are playing AVGs, SVGs are still  
309 being played for longer, and even those with access to AVGs, played them in a sedentary mode.  
310 Future directions for AVG is to encourage the use of consoles, which require movement, such as the  
311 Kinect, which cannot be played in a seated position. Finally, given the opportunity for multi-player  
312 games, the physiological cost of competitive, multi-player active game play, offers an exciting and  
313 novel avenue for research.

314 **5. Conclusion**

Our findings support the promotion of active, but not sedentary, gaming as an acceptable, alternative mode of moderate intensity physical activity. Sedentary gaming should be restricted to avoid encroaching on valuable time otherwise spent in active health enhancing behaviours. Exergames are, for many, an enjoyable mode of home-based physical activity. Future research should focus on larger, adequately powered, population based, multi-centre collaborations to enhance the strength of evidence to promote exergaming as an accepted alternative from of physical activity.

321

## 6. Practical Implications

- Active video gaming using the Kinect™ offers a promising, alternative mode of moderate intensity physical activity for young healthy males ( >6 METs and 64-76 %HR<sub>max</sub> ).
- Variations in exercise intensity and energy expenditure exist between exergames. Games requiring full body movements in all planes of motion, elicit the greatest exercise intensity and energy expenditure.

328

329

## Acknowledgements

The research team would like to thank all the participants for volunteering to take part in the study and special thanks to the technical staff at the University of Sunderland for their help throughout the testing. Academic staff (GB and EB) leading the research, were financially supported by the University of Sunderland.

335

Correspondence should be directed to Gillian Barry, Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.

338

## Author Disclosure Statement



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

The article has not been previously published (except in abstract form) and is currently no under consideration form any other journal. There is no conflict of interest from any author(s) and there is no competing financial interests exist related to the research

**References**

1. World Health Organization (2009). Global health risks. Mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks. WHO, Geneva.
2. Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 219-229.
3. Stamatakis E, Hirani V, Rennie K. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and sedentary behaviours in relation to body mass index-defined and waist circumference-defined obesity. *Br J Nutr* 2009; 101:765-773.
4. Ströhle A. Physical activity, exercise, depression and anxiety disorders. *J Neural Transm* 2009;116: 777-784.
5. Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Steptoe A. Dose-response relationship between physical activity and mental health: the Scottish Health Survey. *Br J Sports Med* 2009;43:1111-1114.
6. Vogel T, Brechat P.H, Leprêtre P.M et al. Health benefits of physical activity in older patients: a review. *Int J Clin Prac* 2009; 63:303-320.
7. Townsend, N, Bhatnagar, P, Wickramasinghe, K, et al. Physical activity statistics 2012. London, British Heart Foundation.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Facts about Physical Activity. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Available from <http://www.cdc.gov/physicalactivity/data/facts.html> [Accessed 8 September 2014].
9. Australia Health Survey: Physical Activity 2011-12. Australia Bureau of Statistics. Available from <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/4364.0.55.004Chapter4002011-12>. [Accessed 8 September 2014]
10. Department of Health (2012). Australia's Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour Guidelines for Adults (18-64 years). [Online]. <http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/health-pubhlth-strateg-phys-act-guidelines#apaadult>. [Accessed 8 September 2014].
11. Department of Health. (2011). Physical Activity Guidelines for Adults (16-64 years). [Online] [http://www.nhs.uk/Livewell/fitness/Documents/adults-19-64\\_years.pdf](http://www.nhs.uk/Livewell/fitness/Documents/adults-19-64_years.pdf) [Accessed 8 September 2014].
12. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, et al. American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011; 43:1334-1359.
13. Katzmarzyk PT. Physical activity, sedentary behavior, and health: Paradigm paralysis or paradigm shift? *Diabetes* 2010;59:2717-25.
14. Marshall SJ, Ramirez E. Reducing sedentary behavior: a new paradigm in physical activity promotion. *Am J Lifestyle Med* 2011;5:518-30.
15. Pate RR, O'Neill JR, Lobelo F. The evolving definition of sedentary. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2008; 36: 173-178.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

16. Lubans DR, Hesketh K, Cliff DP, Barnett LM, Salmon J, Dollman J, Morgan PJ, Hills AP and Hardy LL. A systematic review of the validity and reliability of sedentary behaviour measures used with children and adolescents. *Obesity Reviews* 2011; 12: 781-799.

17. Pratchett, R. Gamers in the UK: digital play, digital lifestyles. BBC Audience Research, New Media, London, (2005).

18. The Netherlands National Gamers Survey, 2009. Available at: [www.newzoo.com/press/TodaysGamersSummaryReport NL.pdf](http://www.newzoo.com/press/TodaysGamersSummaryReportNL.pdf)(accessed 6.12.10).

19. Straker, LM, Pollock, CM, and Maslen, BA. Principles for the wise use of computers by children. *Ergonomics* 2009; 52 (11): 1386-1401.

20. Straker, LM, Abbott, R, Collins, R et al. [Evidence-based guidelines for wise use of electronic games by children](#). *Ergonomics* 2014; 57 (4): 471-489.

21. Vernadakis N, Derri V, Tsitskari E, et al. The effect of Xbox Kinect intervention on balance ability for previously injured young competitive male athletes: A preliminary study, *Phys Ther in Sport* 2014; 15: 148-155.

22. Mellecker RR, and McManus AM. Active video games and physical activity recommendations: A comparison of Gamercize Stepper, XBOX Kinect and XaviX J-Mat. *J Sci Med Sport* 2013; 17:288-292.

23. Lanningham-Foster L, Foster R C, McCrady SK, et al. Activity-promoting video games and increased energy expenditure. *J Pediatr* 2009; 154(6):819-823.

24. Graf DL, Pratt LV, Hester CN, et al. Playing active video games increases energy expenditure in children. *Pediatrics* 2009; 124(2):534-540.

25. White K, Schofield G, Kilding AE. Energy expenditure by boys playing ative video games. *J Sci Med Sport* 2011; 14(2):130-134.

26. Graves L, Stratton G, Ridgers ND, et al. Comparison of energy expenditure in adolescents when playing new generation and sedentary computer games: cross sectional study. *BMJ* 2007; 335(7633):1282–1284.

27. Taylor LM, Maddison R, Pfaeffli LA et al. Activity and energy expenditure in older people playing active video games. *Arch of Phys Med and Rehab* 2012; 93(12):2281-2286.

28. Sell K, Lillie T, Taylor J. Energy expenditure during physically interactive video game playing in male college students with different playing experience. *J Am Coll Health* 2008; 56(5):505–511

29. O'Donovan C, and Hussey J. Active video games as a form of exercise and the effect of gaming experience: a preliminary study in healthy young adults. *Physiotherapy* 2011; 98:205-210.

30. O'Donovan C, Hirsch E, Holohan E, et al. Energy expended playing Xbox Kinect and Wii games: a preliminary study comparing single and multiplayer modes. *Physiotherapy* 2012; 98(3):224-229.

## Physiological cost of exergaming

- 441 31. Smallwood SR, Morris MM, Fallows SJ, and Buckley JP. Physiologic Responses and Energy  
442 Expenditure of Kinect Active Video Game Play in Schoolchildren. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*.  
443 2012;166 :1005-1009.
- 444 32. Vernadakis N, Gioftsidou A, Antoniou P, et al. The impact of Nintendo Wii™ to physical  
445 educations students balance compared to traditional approaches. *JCAL* 2012; 59: 196-205.
- 446 33. Jones, AM and Doust, JH. A 1% treadmill gradient most accurately reflects the energetic cost of  
447 outdoor running. *J Sp Sci* 1996; 14(4): 321-327.
- 448 34. Borg G. Perceived exertion as an indicator of somatic stress. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*  
449 1970; 2:92-8.
- 450 35. Forde C, and Hussey J. How Children Use Active Videogames and the Association Between  
451 Screen Time and Physical Activity. *Games for Health Journal* 2015; 4: 312-317

Table I Classification of exercise intensity: Adapted from the American College of Sports Medicine

Intensity	% HR max	% V02max	Rate of Perceived exhaustion (RPE)	Absolute intensity METS	Young (20-39 yr)
Very light	<57	<37	< very light (RPE <9)	<2	<2.4
Light	57-63	37-45	Very light- fairly light (RPE 9-11)	2.0-2.9	2.4-4.7
Moderate	64-76	46-63	Fairly light – somewhat hard (RPE 12-13)	3.0 – 5.9	4.8-7.1
Vigorous	77-95	64-90	Somewhat hard – very hard (RPE 14-17)	6.0-8.7	7.2-10.1
Near-maximal to maximal	>96	>91	> Very hard (>18)	>8.8	>10.2

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Table II Participants Characteristics

N=19	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range
Age (years)	23 $\pm$ 3	20 - 33
Height (cm)	178 $\pm$ 6	170 - 191
Weight (kg)	79 $\pm$ 15	59 - 104
Resting Heart Rate (beats min <sup>-1</sup> )	73 $\pm$ 13	54 - 94
$\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ (ml kg <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )	51 $\pm$ 10	26.2 - 66.7
Body Mass Index (Kg m <sup>-2</sup> )	25 $\pm$ 4	19.1 - 35.1
Basic Metabolic Rate ( kcal m <sup>2</sup> h)	1878 $\pm$ 213	1580- 2251

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Table III Comparison of movements for AVGs and SVGs

Exergames	Instructions	Movements required
<b>ACTIVE</b>		
<b>Reflex Ridge</b>	Participants steer a cart along a track by moving their body from left to right and jumping up and down (taking off and landing on two legs). At the start of the game participants stand in a neutral standing position, with their arms raised straight in front of them as if they were grabbing onto bars. The aim of the game is to collect as many coins as possible in the time allotted: jumping over, or, squatting underneath barriers using the upper body to reach out in both medial and lateral directions depending on the position of the coins. They can move the body laterally to either the left or right to avoid barriers.	Full medial-lateral weight shifting. Vertical jumping and squatting low.
<b>River Rush</b>	Participants steer a river raft boat down the rapids collecting points by moving the body from left to right and jumping up and down (taking off and landing on two legs). At the start of the game participants stand in a neutral standing position, and jump up and land on two feet to start the game (see image 4). The aim of the game is to collect as many coins as possible in time allotted.	Full medial-lateral weight shifting of the centre of gravity over the base of support.
<b>Boxing</b>	Participants have to avoid punches to the head and stomach by moving the upper body and knock their virtual opponent out as fast as possible (see image 1). At the start of the game participants stand in a static upright position with both feet on the ground. They move the upper body (torso and arms) to block shots from the virtual opponent and attempt to strike their opponent in the head and torso by punching with either arm.	Full medial-lateral weight shifting of the centre of gravity over the base of support. Shoulder flexion and extension
<b>SEDENTARY</b>		
<b>Call of duty</b>	Participants control their character as long as possible against increasing waves of Zombies.	Sedentary (seated position throughout)
<b>FIFA 14</b>	Participants control their football team against a computer lead team.	Sedentary (seated position throughout)

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Table IV Physiological response to active exergames versus sedentary exergames

Game	Heart rate (b min <sup>-1</sup> )	% HRmax (b min <sup>-1</sup> )	$\dot{V}O_2$ (mL min <sup>-1</sup> .kg <sup>-1</sup> )	% $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ (mL min <sup>-1</sup> .kg <sup>-1</sup> )	METs	RPE	Kcal min <sup>-1</sup>
<i>Resting</i>	73 ± 13	36.8 ± 3	-	-	1 ± 0	6 ± 0	-
<i>Active</i>							
<b>Reflex Ridge</b>	142 ± 18*	72 ± 9*	27.4 ± 6.7	54.5 ± 13.6 *	8 ± 2 *	13 ± 2 *	10.8 ± 3.2
<b>River Rush</b>	127 ± 22 *	64 ± 11*	20.9 ± 5.8	41.4 ± 11.5 *	6 ± 2*	11 ± 2 *	8.2 ± 2.5
<b>Boxing</b>	136 ± 24 *	69 ± 12*	24.0 ± 5.6	46.4 ± 12.3 *	7 ± 2*	12 ± 2 *	9.3 ± 2.6
<b>Mean ± SD</b>	135 ± 21	68 ± 11*	24.1 ± 6.0	47.4 ± 12.5*	7 ± 2*	12 ± 2*	9.4 ± 2.7
<i>Sedentary</i>							
<b>Call of Duty</b>	76 ± 13	38 ± 7	4.6 ± 1.0	9.3 ± 2.0	1 ± 0	6 ± 1	2.0 ± 0.4
<b>FIFA 14</b>	77 ± 12	39 ± 6	5.1 ± 1.0	10.0 ± 2.0	1 ± 0	6 ± 1	1.8 ± 0.3
<b>Mean ± SD</b>	76.5 ± 12.5	38.5 ± 6.5 <sup>†</sup>	4.8 ± 1.0	9.65 ± 2.0 <sup>†</sup>	1 ± 0 <sup>†</sup>	6 ± 1 <sup>†</sup>	1.9 ± 0.35

\*Significantly different from sedentary games ( $p \leq 0.01$ ): Call of Duty and FIFA 2014† Significantly different from River Rush ( $p < 0.01$ )

\* Indicated moderate intensity exercise for AVGs in accordance with ACSM guideline.

† Indicates light activity for SVGs in accordance with ACSM guidelines.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)



**Assessing the physiological cost of active video games (Xbox Kinect™) versus sedentary video games in young healthy males**

The author (s) would like to thank the reviewers and Games for Health Journal the opportunity to re-submit the article for publication and to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments to which the author (s) believe the manuscript has been strengthened.

Reviews comments	Changes
Keywords	Amended on L.19 to the same as L.46
Abstract	<p>L.34 changed to “Rating of perceived exertion (RPE) was taken every 3 minutes during AVGs and SVGs” same as L.198</p> <p>L.26 aims are consistent with L.130 The aims of this study were twofold; 1) to assess and compare the physiological costs of AVGs and SVGs and 2) to compare the exercise intensities attained during AVGs to the exercise intensity criteria for moderate and vigorous physical activity, as stated in current physical activity recommendations for improving public health.</p>
Introduction	<p>The introduction has had a rewrite to ensure a more logical and concise argument.</p> <p>L.57 removed superfluous information L.67 changed Public Health to public health L.72-L.83 changed all spelling to handheld L.103 added references for the 3 studies L.103 changed assessed to compared L.107 clarified “s” and “SD” L.113 Changed &amp; to and L.126-L.133 reworded to make a more logical paragraph.</p>
Methods	<p>L.138 changed 19 to Nineteen L.139-140 states how participants were recruited L.147 removed comma after self-report L.159-162 explained reason for taking resting blood pressure this was due to pre-screening and health and safety. L.180 changed to rate of perceived exertion (RPE) L.183-187 explained cut-points for RPE L.202 changed to mean ± SD</p>

	L. 206 deleted where
Results	<p>Consistency has been established throughout the paper for <math>p</math> values</p> <p>L.216 <math>p</math> value actually <math>p &lt; 0.05</math> not <math>p &gt; 0.05</math> this has been changed and does not contradict the sentence.</p> <p>L.217 comma added after HR data and exercise intensity expressed as a % of HRmax</p> <p>L.220 game modes explained (AVG and SVG mean the modes)</p> <p>L.226-227- actual <math>p</math> values documented</p> <p>L.238-239 fitness of participants clarified.</p> <p>The authors feel it is not relevant to classify “somewhat hard” and “moderate” intensity exercise as this has already been mentioned in the methodology sections L.190-194.</p> <p>L.244 clarified the statement</p> <p>The authors reported <math>p</math> values as <math>p &lt; 0.01</math> due to the statistical significance been so high at for example <math>p = 0.00000001</math></p>
Discussion	<p>More critical analysis has been displayed in the discussion in relation to the papers secondary aim.</p> <p>L.268 Included reference to literature and included apostrophe in O’Donovan</p> <p>L.269 mean age was added as young adults, the authors felt this was more relevant to the reader than defining young adults.</p> <p>L.279 clarified the link to boxing games</p> <p>L277-282-Elaborated on the point</p> <p>L.285 noted that sample size was young boys</p> <p>L.291 changed to “The MET of running..”</p> <p>L.291 Highlights that the present study is being referred to.</p>
Practical Implications	<p>L.320 subscript max</p> <p>Reworded last practical implication.</p>
Tables	<p>Included overall mean <math>\pm</math> SD to Table III, due to additionally adding a table for ACSM guidelines this is now Table IV</p>

**Reviewer 2**

L. 121-122- Explaining that AVG has been compared to SVG gaming previously.

L.301-304 – explains that AVGs can be used as an alternative to PA and explains further works needs to be carried out.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

L. 304-311 highlights the debate for the use of the XBOX Kinect as an active game and refers to Forde and Hussey (2014) paper on “ How Children Use Active Videogames and the Association Between Screen Time and Physical Activity).

L.321 Deleted comments about rehabilitation as the manuscript does not take this into account.

Last bullet point in the recommendations was deleted as the author(s) felt it was a repetition of point one.